Resources for the UCS Scientific Integrity Curriculum Guide

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. OVERVIEW OF SCIENTIFIC INTEGRITY	1
II. CLIMATE CHANGE	3
III. REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH	5
IV. AIR POLLUTION AND TOXICS	5
V. DRUG SAFETY AND PUBLIC HEALTH	7
VI. ENDANGERED SPECIES	8
VII. SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEES	9
VIII. TRANSPARENCY AND THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT	11
IX. OTHER EXAMPLES	12
X. SOLUTIONS AND REFORMS	12

I. Overview of Scientific Integrity

1. UCS Overviews:

- UCS Scientific Integrity Program
- UCS Call to Action: Scientific Freedom and the Public Good
- UCS Report: Federal Science and the Public Good
- UCS A to Z Guide to Political Interference in Science
- UCS surveys of scientists at federal agencies

2. Books:

- Gough, Michael, editor. 2003. <u>Politicizing Science: the Alchemy of Policymaking</u>. Hoover Institution Press.
- Greenberg, Daniel S. 2001. <u>Science, money and Politics: Political Triumph and Ethical Erosion</u> Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Greenberg, Daniel S. 1999. <u>The Politics of Pure Science</u>. Chicago: University of Chicago Press

- Guston, David H. 2000. <u>Between Politics and Science: Assuring the Integrity and Productivity of Research</u>. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Lakoff, George. 2002. <u>Moral Politics: How Liberals and Conservatives Think.</u> Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Mooney, Chris. 2006. The Republican War on Science. Basic Books.
- Pollack, Henry N. 2003. <u>Uncertain science ... Uncertain World</u>. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Shulman, Seth. 2007. <u>Undermining Science: Suppression and Distortion in the Bush Administration</u>, University of California Press
- Wagner, Wendy and Steinzor, Rena. 2006. <u>Rescuing Science from Politics:</u>
 <u>Regulation and the Distortion of Scientific Research.</u> Cambridge University Press.

3. Reports:

- Committee on Prospering in the Global Economy of the 21st Century, a subcomittee of the National Academies. 2007. <u>Rising Above the Gathering Storm: Energizing and Employing America for a Brighter Economic Future</u>. The National Academies Press.
- Kempner, J. *et al.* Feb 11, 2005. <u>Forbidden Knowledge</u> (subscription required), *Science*, **307** (5711), 854
- Pielke, R. 2002. <u>Science Policy: Policy, Politics and Perspective</u>(subscription required). *Nature*, **416**, 367
- Rothestein, BJ, editor. June 2005. <u>Scientific Evidence and Public Policy</u>, *American Journal of Public Health Supplement*, **95** (S1).
- Social Research: An international quarterly of the social sciences. 1992. <u>Science</u> and politics (*subscription required*). Vol. 59, No.3.
- <u>Politics and science in the Bush administration</u>. 2003. Rep. Henry Waxman's Office.

- Check, E. 2003. <u>Bush accused of power abuse over science (subscription required)</u>. *Nature*, 424 (6950), 715. August 14.
- Dean, C. 2006. <u>At a scientific gathering, U.S. policies are lamented</u>. *The New York Times*. February 19.
- Glanz, J. 2004. <u>Scientists say administration distorts facts</u>. *The New York Times*. February 19.
- Gugliotta, G. and R. Weiss. 2004. <u>President's science policy questioned</u>. *The Washington Post*. February 19.
- Macilwain, C. and G. Brumfiel. 2006. <u>US scientists fight political meddling</u> (subscription required). *Nature*, 439, 896. February 23.
- Marquis, C. 2003. <u>Bush misuses science data, report says</u>. *The New York Times*. August 8.
- Revkin, A. C. 2005. <u>Bush vs. the laureates: How science became a partisan issue</u>. *The New York Times*. October 19
- Smith, D. 2005. Political science. The New York Times Magazine. September 4.

- Train, RE. 2003. When politics trumps science (letter to the editor). New York Times. June 21.
- Weiss, R. 2003. <u>Bush misuses science, report says</u>. *The Washington Post*. August 8.

5. Cartoons:

- Anderson, Nick. <u>January 31, 2006</u>. *Houston Chronicle*.
- Sack, Steve. March 1, 2004. Minneapolis Star Tribune.
- Toles, Tom. February 2, 2006. The Washington Post.
- Toles, Tom. June 27, 2005. The Washington Post.
- Toles, Tom. February 20, 2004. The Washington Post.

6. Other organizations:

- American Association for the Advancement of Science
- Center for Science in the Public Interest
- Corrupted Science, by Sheldon Krimsky of Tuffs University
- Government Accountability Project
- Lawrence Krauss
- Pacific Institute
- Project on Scientific Knowledge and Public Policy (SKAPP): (see also American Journal of Public Health Supplement: Scientific Evidence and Public Policy)
- Public Employees for Environmental Responsibility
- OMB Watch
- UCS list of additional organizations

II. Climate change

1. UCS

- From the A to Z Guide:
 - o Can't say climate change at carbon conference
 - o Censoring climate change health hazards
 - o James Hansen
 - o Selective approval of global warming media interviews
 - o Distorting and censoring global warming documents
 - o EPA draft report on the environment
 - o Manipulation of global warming science
 - o Climate change informational websites
 - o Global warming news releases
 - o Minders
- UCS. 2007. <u>Atmosphere of Pressure: Political interference in federal climate</u> science
- UCS. 2007. Smoke, mirrors, and hot air: How ExxonMobil uses Big Tobacco's tactics to manufacture uncertainty on climate science.

2. Books

- Bowen, Mark. 2007. <u>Censoring Science: Inside the Political Attack on Dr. Hames</u> Hansen and the Truth of Global Warming. Dutton Adult.
- Kolbert, Elizabeth. 2006. Field Notes from a Catastrophe. Bloomsbury.
- Mooney, Chris. 2007. <u>Storm World: Hurricanes, Politics, and the Battle over Global Warming.</u> Harcourt, Inc.

3. Reports

- Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, United States House of Representatives. 2007. <u>Political Interference with Climate Change Science under the Bush Administration</u>.
- Maassarani, Tarek. 2007. <u>Redacting the science of climate change: An investigative and synthesis report.</u> Government Accountability Project and the National Coalition against Censorship.
- Mooney, Chris. 2008. <u>An Inconvenient Assessment</u>. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. Vol 63, No. 6, pp 40-47.

4. News articles

- Eilperin, J. 2006. <u>Climate researchers feeling the heat from the White House</u>. *The Washington Post*. April 6.
- Hebert, J. 2007. White House edits Gerberding testimony. Associated Press, October 23.
- The New York Times editorial. 2003. <u>Censorship on global warming</u>. *The New York Times*. June 20.
- The New York Times editorial. 2006. <u>Censoring truth</u>. *The New York Times*. February 6.
- The Philadelphia Inquirer editorial. 2006. <u>Stop muzzling experts</u>. *The Philadelphia Inquirer*, February 5.
- Revkin, A. 2006. <u>NASA's goals delete mention of home planet</u>. *New York Times*, July 22.
- Revkin, A. C. 2005. <u>Bush aide softened greenhouse gas links to global warming</u>. *The New York Times*. June 8.
- Revkin A. C. and K. Q. Seelye. 2003. Report by EPA leaves out climate change data. *The New York Times*. June 19.
- Revkin, A. C. 2006. <u>Climate expert says NASA tried to silence him</u>. *The New York Times*. January 29.
- Train, R. E. 2003. When politics trumps science. The New York Times. June 19.
- The Washington Post editorial. <u>The politics of science</u>. *The Washington Post*, February 9.

5. Cartoons

- Toles, Tom. <u>July 13, 2006</u>. *The Washington Post*.
- Wasserman, Dan. January 30, 2006. The Boston Globe.
- Toles, Tom. June 14, 2005. The Washington Post.

III. Reproductive Health

1. UCS

- From the A to Z guide
 - o Emergency contraception
 - o Abstinence-only education
 - o HIV/AIDS education
 - o Abortion and breast cancer
 - o Reproductive health advisory committee
 - o Sexually transmitted disease panel at CDC
 - o International AIDS conference

2. Reports

- Committee on Government Reform. 2004. <u>The content of federally funded abstinence-only education programs</u>. US House of Representatives, prepared for Rep. Henry Waxman. December.
- Reproductive Health Technologies Project. 2004. Report on abuses of science in reproductive health.

3. Articles

- The Boston Globe editorial. 2004. Morning-after roadblock. *The Boston Globe* May 11.
- Clymer, A. 2002. <u>US revises sex information</u>, and a fight goes on. The New York Times. December 27.
- Drazen, J. *et al.* 2004. <u>The FDA, politics, and Plan B</u> (subscription required). *New England Journal of Medicine*. 350, 1561. April 8.
- Kaiser, J. 2003. <u>NIH roiled by inquiries over grant list</u> (subscription required). *Science*, 302 (5646), 758. October 31.
- Leshner, A. 2003. <u>Don't let ideology trump science</u>. *Science*, 302 (5650), 1479. November 28.
- Nature editorial. 2004. <u>Science, not politics</u> (subscription required). *Nature Medicine*, 10, 759. August.
- Nature Structural and Molecular Biology. 2004. The FDA is no place for politics (subscription required). *Nature Structural and Molecular Biology Journal*. May.
- Weiss, R. 2006. <u>Senators prod FDA nominee on politicization of agency</u>. *The Washington Post*. August 2.
- Wood, S. F. 2006. When politics defeats science. The Washington Post, March 1.

IV. Air Pollution and Toxics

1. UCS

- From the A to Z guide
 - o Selenium
 - o Lead
 - o Mercury
 - o Plywood plant emissions
 - o FEMA trailers

- o Asbestos
- o Atrazine
- o Pesticides
- o Particulate matter pollution
- National Ambient Air Quality Standards
- o Air quality proposals
- o Air pollution monitoring
- o Airborne bacteria
- o Toxic chemicals release
- o Ground Zero

2. Books

• Davis, Devra. 2002. When Smoke Ran Like Water: Tales of Environmental Deception and the Battle Against Pollution. Basic Books.

3. Reports

- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2003. <u>EPA's response to the World Trade Center collapse: Challenges, successes, and areas for improvement</u>. August 21. Washington, DC: Office of the Inspector General.
- Government Accountability Office (GAO). 2007. <u>Toxic chemical releases: EPA actions could reduce environmental information available to many communities</u>. Washington, DC.
- OMBWatch. 2007. Polluted logic: <u>How EPA's ozone standard illustrates the flaws of cost-benefit analysis in regulatory decision making</u>. December 5.
- Sass, J. 2004. <u>U.S. Department of Defense and White House working together to avoid cleanup and liability for perchlorate pollution</u>. *International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health* 10:330–334.

- Bowman, C. 2006. <u>EPA panel blasts air-rule changes</u>. *The Sacramento Bee*. February 4.
- Eilperin, J. 2006. A vote lost in the smog. *The Washington Post*. September 29.
- Eilperin, J. 2005. New rules could allow power plants to pollute more. *Washington Post*, August 31.
- Environmental Integrity Project. 2007. <u>Press release: Pollution enforcement efforts under Bush administration's EPA drop on four out of five key fronts.</u> May 23.
- Gugliotta, G. and E. Pianin. 2003. <u>EPA withholds air pollution analysis</u>. *The Washington Post*. July 1.
- Hamburger, T. and A. C. Miller. 2004. <u>Mercury emissions rule geared to benefit industry, staffers say</u>. *Los Angeles* Times. March 16.
- Shogren, E. 2004. <u>Air quality experts decry new Bush policy</u>. *Los Angeles Times*, April 29.
- Vedantam, S. 2005. New EPA mercury rule omits conflicting data. *The Washington Post*. March 22.

V. Drug Safety and Public Health

1. UCS

- From the A to Z guide
 - o Ketek
 - o Vioxx
 - o Prescription drugs Mifepristone and Misoprostol
 - o Surgeon General muzzled
 - o Lead in children's lunchboxes
 - o All-terrain vehicle safety
- <u>UCS survey</u> of the Food and Drug Administration

2. Books

• Institute of Medicine (IOM). 2007. <u>The future of drug safety: Promoting and protecting the health of the public.</u> National Academies Press.

3. Reports

- Brennan, T. et al. 2006. <u>Health industry practices that create conflicts of interest</u>. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, **295**, 429.
- Carmona, Richard. 2007. <u>Oral testimony (video) before the House Committee on Oversight and Governmental Reform, hearing entitled "The surgeon general's vital mission: Challenges for the future."</u> July 10.
- Clapp, R. et al. 2006. Erosion of the integrity of public health science in the USA (abstract only). Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 63, 367.
- Committee on the Assessment of the Drug Safety System. 2006. <u>The Future of Drug Safety: Promoting and Protecting the Health of the Public.</u> *Institute of Medicine of the National Academies*.
- FDA Science Board. 2007. <u>FDA Science and Mission at Risk: Report of the Subcommittee on Science and Technology</u>. November.
- Government Accountability Project. 2004. Dr. David Graham's Full Story. .
- Rapid Health Policy Response Project. 2007. Reauthorizing the Prescription Drug User Fee Act: How are PDUFA, the FDA budget, and drug safety related? The George Washington University School of Public Health and Health Services.
- Tong, S. and J. Olson, 2005. <u>The threat to scientific integrity in environmental and occupational medicine (abstract only)</u>. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, **62**, 843.
- Zuckerman, Diana M. 2006. <u>FDA advisory committees: Does approval mean safety?</u> National Research Center for Women and Families.

- Harris, G. 2004. <u>Drug-safety reviewer says FDA delayed Vioxx study</u>. *The New York Times*. November 4.
- Harris, G. 2004. FDA failing in drug safety, official asserts. The New York Times. November 19.
- Harris, G. 2005. <u>Drug regulators are trying to quash study, senator says</u>. *The New York Times*. February 12.

- Harris, G. 2004. Expert kept from speaking at antidepressant hearing. The New York Times. April 16.
- Harris, G. 2006. <u>Halt is urged for trials of antibiotic in children</u>. *The New York Times*. June 8.
- Harris, G. 2006. <u>FDA warns of liver failure after antibiotic</u>. *The New York Times*. June 30.
- Harris, G. 2006. <u>Approval of antibiotic worried safety officials</u>. *The New York Times*. July 18.
- Harris, G. 2007. Advisers say FDA's flaws put lives at risk. The New York Times. Nov 30.
- Ingle, Robin. 2007. Which toys are okay? Don't ask the safety police. The Washington Post. December 23.
- Kaufman, M. 2004. <u>FDA official alleges pressure to suppress Vioxx findings</u>. *The Washington Post*. October 8.
- Lipton, Eric. 2007. <u>Safety agency faces scrutiny amid changes</u>. *The New York Times*. September 2.
- Rest, Kathy, and Halpern, Michael. 2007. <u>Politics and the Erosion of Federal Scientific Capacity: Restoring Scientific Integrity to Public Health Science.</u> *American Journal of Public Health*. November, Vol 97, No. 11.
- Nature Immunology. 2008. <u>Politicizing science no more.</u> Nature Immunology. March, Vol 9, No. 217.
- Ross, David B. 2007. The FDA and the Case of Ketek. The New England Journal of Medicine. April 19.
- Vedantam, S. 2004. FDA told its analyst to censor data on antidepressants. The Washington Post. September 24.
- Vrazo, F. and L. Loyd. 2004. <u>Drugs to warn of suicide risks</u>. *The Philadelphia Inquirer*. October 16.

VI. Endangered Species

1. UCS

- From the A to Z guide:
 - o Piper ployer and interior least term
 - o Southwestern bald eagle
 - o Salmon
 - o Spotted Owl
 - o Florida panther
 - o Bull trout
 - o Trumpeter swan
 - o Gunnison's prairie dog
 - o White-tailed prairie dog
 - o Roundtail Chub
 - o Gunnison Sage Grouse
 - o Tabernaemontana Rotensis
- UCS Biologist Letter Concerning Science in the Endangered Species Act:

- <u>UCS survey</u> of the Fish and Wildlife Service
- <u>UCS survey</u> of NOAA Fisheries Service

2. Books

- Goble, Dale D, Scott, J. Michael, and Davis, Frank W, eds. 2005. <u>The Endangered Species Act at Thirty: Vol 1: Renewing the Conservation Promise</u>. Island Press.
- Scott, J. Michael, Goble, Dale D., and Davis, Frank W., eds. 2006. <u>The Endangered Species Act at Thirty: Vol 2: Conserving Biodiversity in Human-Dominated Landscapes</u>. Island Press.

3. Reports

- Department of the Interior, Office of the Inspector General. 2007. <u>Investigative</u> report into Julie MacDonald.
- Defenders of Wildlife. 2007. New Bush administration policy limits endangered species listings.
- Greenwald, Noah. 2007. <u>Politicizing extinction: The Bush administration's</u> dangerous approach to endangered wildlife. Center for Biological Diversity.
- Suckling, Kieran, *et al.* 2004. <u>Extinction and the Endangered Species Act</u>. Center for Biological Diversity.

- Associated Press. 2007. <u>Embattled Interior official resigns post.</u> CBS News. May
 1.
- Associated Press. 2005. <u>Data on Florida panthers faulty and incomplete, wildlife agency says</u>. March 22
- Associated Press. 2005. Wildlife agency reinstates whistle-blower. June 30.
- Associated Press. 2004. Dispute stalls plan to protect panthers. November 10.
- Backus, P. 2006. <u>Groups file a second lawsuit over bull trout habitat</u>. *The Missoulian*. January 7.
- Barringer, Felicity. 2007. <u>Report says Interior official overrode work of scientists.</u> March 29.
- Clayton, Mark. 2007. <u>Politics undercut species act, suits say</u>. *The Christian Science Monitor*. November 20.
- Egan, T. 2004. Shift on salmon reignites fight on species law. The New York Times. May 9.
- Eilperin, J. 2006. <u>Bush appointee said to reject advice on endangered species</u>. *The Washington Post*. October 30.
- Griscom, A. 2003. They blinded me with pseudo science. Grist, November 12.
- Harden, B. 2004. <u>Trout-protection data questioned</u>. *The Washington Post*. April 17.
- Public Employees for Environmental Responsibility. 2006. <u>Interior official</u> responsible for scientific fraud promoted. February 1.
- Roig-Franzia, M. 2005. <u>Panther advocate fights to get job back</u>. *The Washington Post*. March 8.
- Weiss, K. 2004. <u>Action to protect salmon urged</u>. *The Los Angeles Times*. March 26.

VII. Scientific Advisory Committees

1. UCS

- From the A to Z guide:
 - o Arms control advisory panel
 - o National Nuclear Security Advisory panel
 - o Office of Management and Budget peer review proposals
 - o Reproductive health advisory committee
 - o President's council on bioethics
 - o Workplace safety panel
 - o Childhood Lead Poisoning Panel
 - o Vetting of experts on WHO panel
 - o NIH Fogarty International

2. Books

• Jasanoff, S. 1998. <u>The Fifth Branch</u>. Harvard: Harvard University Press.

3. Reports

- Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy. 2005. <u>Science and technology in the national interest</u>: <u>Ensuring the best presidential and federal advisory committee science and technology appointments</u>. The National Academies.
- Friedman, L. and E. Richter. 2006. <u>Conflicts of Interest and Scientific Integrity</u>. *International Journal of Environmental and Occupational Health*, **11** (2), 205.
- Government Accountability Office (GAO). 2004. <u>Legal principles applicable to</u> selection of federal advisory committee members.
- United States General Services Administration. <u>Federal Advisory Committee Act</u> brochure.
- United States General Accounting Office. 2004. <u>Federal advisory committees:</u>
 <u>Additional guidance could help agencies better ensure independence and balance.</u>

 GAO report to congressional requesters. April. (<u>summary available here</u>)

- Benson, E. 2003. <u>Political science</u>. *Monitor on Psychology: Journal of the American Psychological Association*, 34 (3), 20. March.
- Blackburn, E. and J. Rowley. 2004. <u>Reason as our guide</u>. *Public Library of Science, Biology*, 2 (4), e116. March 5.
- Blackburn, E. 2004. <u>Bioethics and the political distortion of biomedical science</u> (subscription required). *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 350 (24), 1379. April 1.
- The Boston Globe editorial. 2006. <u>Politics vs. science</u>. *The Boston Globe*. January 24,
- Chang, K. 2004. <u>Scientists say they were questioned on politics</u>. *The New York Times*. July 9.
- Ferber, D. 2002. <u>Critics see a tilt in a CDC science panel</u> (subscription required)," *Science*, 297 (5586), 1456. August 30

- Kennedy, D. 2003. <u>An epidemic of politics</u>. *Science*, 299 (5607), 625. January 31.
- Kennedy, D. 2003. Well, they were doing it, too. Science, 302 (5642), 17.
 October 3.
- Loomis, D. 2002. <u>Unpopular opinions need not apply</u> (subscription required). *Science*, 298 (5597), 1335. November 15.
- Markowitz, G. 2003. <u>Politicizing science: The case of the Bush administration's influence on the lead advisory panel at the Centers for Disease Control</u>. *Journal of Public Health Policy*, 24 (2), 105.
- Michaels, D. et al. 2002. <u>Advice without dissent</u>. Science, 298 (5594), 703.
 October 25.
- Steinbrook, R. 2004. <u>Science, politics, and federal advisory committees</u> (subscription required). *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 350 (14), 1454. April 1.
- Stolberg, S. G. 2002. <u>Bush science advisers drawing criticism</u>. *The New York Times*. October 10.

VIII. Transparency and the Freedom of Information Act

1. Books

- Fung, Archon et al. 2007. <u>Full Disclosure: The Perils and Promise of Transparency</u>. Cambridge University Press.
- Gup, Ted. 2007. Nation of Secrets: The Threat to Democracy and the American Way of Life. Doubleday.

2. Reports

- Government Accountability Office (GAO). 2003. <u>Freedom of Information Act:</u> <u>Agency views on changes resulting from new administration policy.</u>
- Knezo, G.J. 2003. "Sensitive but unclassified" and other federal security controls on scientific and technical information: History and current controversy. July 2. Congressional Research Service.
- Michaels, David and Vidmar, Neil, editors. Summer 2006. <u>Sequestered Science</u>: <u>The Consequences of Undisclosed Knowledge</u>. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 69 (3).
- Simoncelli, Tania and Stanley, Jay. 2005. <u>Science under siege: The Bush administration's assault on academic freedom and scientific inquiry.</u> American Civil Liberties Union.

- Broad, W. 2002. <u>U.S. is tightening rules on keeping scientific secrets</u>. The New York Times. February 17.
- Public Citizen. 2003. Analysis of executive order 13292.

IX. Other Examples

1. Reports

- American Bar Association. 2006. <u>Task force on presidential signing statements</u> and separation of powers doctrine.
- Annotated text of all presidential signing statements.
- Bass, G. 2007. <u>Testimony before the House Committee on Science and Technology</u>, <u>Subcommittee on Investigations and Oversight</u>. April 26.
- Congressional Research Service. 2006. <u>Presidential signing statements:</u> Constitutional and institutional implications. Sept 20.
- Egilman, D. 2006. <u>Corporate corruption of science: The case of chromium(IV)</u>. *International Journal of Environmental and Occupational Health*, **12** (2), 169.
- Halstead, T.J. 2007. <u>Presidential signing statements: Constitutional and institutional implications</u>. September 17. Congressional Research Service.
- Lemmens, T. 2004. <u>Leopards in the temple: Restoring scientific integrity to the commercialized research scene</u>. *Journal of Law, Medicine, and Ethics*, **32** (4), 641.
- OMB Watch. The data quality act news web page.
- Revolving Door Working Group (RDWG). 2005. <u>A matter of trust: How the revolving-door undermines public confidence in government—and what to do about it.</u>

2. Articles

- Glanz, J. 2004. At the center of the storm over Bush and science. The New York Times. March 30.
- Mooney, C. 2001. Political science: <u>The Bush administration snubs its science</u> adviser. *American Prospect*, December 3.
- Revkin, A. C. 2006. <u>Inconsistent information policies jeopardize research</u>, panel says. *The New York Times*. June 9.
- Sandler, J. 2007. The war on whistle-blowers. Salon, November 1.
- Savage, C. 2006. <u>Bush challenges hundreds of laws</u>. *The Boston Globe*. April 30.
- Savage, C. 2006. <u>Examples of the president's signing statements</u>. *The Boston Globe*. April 30.
- Weiss, R. 2004. <u>Data quality law is nemesis of regulation</u>. *The Washington Post*. August 6.

X. Solutions and Reforms

1. UCS:

- <u>UCS model media policy</u>
- UCS solutions to restore scientific integrity
- UCS suggestions on ways to support the integrity of science

2. Books

- Bimber, Bruce. 1996. <u>The Politics of Expertise in Congress: The Rise and Fall of</u> the Office of Technology Assessment. State University of New York Press.
- Committee on Assessing Integrity in Research Environments. 2002. <u>Integrity in scientific research: Creating an environment that promotes responsible conduct.</u> The National Academies.
- Jones, M., Guston, D., and Branscomb, L. 1996. <u>Informed Legislatures</u>. Center for Science and International Affairs Occasional paper No 11. University Press of America.
- Morgan, M. Granger and Peha, Jon M., eds. 2003. <u>Science and Technology</u> <u>Advice for Congress</u>. Resources for the Future.

2. Reports

- Kelly, H, et al. 2004. Flying blind: The rise, fall, and possible resurrection of science policy advice in the United States. Federation of American Scientists, Occasional Paper No. 2. December.
- Knezo, Genevieve. 2005. <u>Technology assessment in Congress: History and legislative options</u>. Congressional Research Service. May.
- Makeig, Katy. 2001. Reviving the Office of Technology Assessment. Geotimes. October.
- Scientific American editorial. 2005. <u>Bring back the OTA</u>. *Scientific American*. June.